### **Employment Law Update**

Jonathon Watson jwatson@shermanhoward.com 303-299-8286

SHERMAN&HOWARD LLC

### **Fair Labor Standards Act**

- The FLSA is the federal law that sets minimum wage, overtime requirements, equal pay, record keeping, and child labor standards for employers covered by the Act.
- Applies to municipal employees. 29 U.S.C. § 203(S)(1)(c)

#### **Fair Labor Standards Act**

- · Overtime
  - 1.5 times the regular rate for hours over 40 per week
- Exemptions
  - White Collar Exemptions (focus of DOL regulations)
  - Other Exemptions

## **Fair Labor Standards Act** · Three requirements of White Collar Exemptions – Duties Test (no changes) · Considers employees' "primary duties" - Salary Basis Test (no changes) • Paid full salary for week they perform work - Salary Level Test (significant changes) **Fair Labor Standards Act** · Changes to Salary Level Test - Current minimum weekly salary requirements • \$455/week (\$23,660 annually; \$11.38/hr) - Proposed weekly salary requirements • \$913/week (\$47,476 annually; \$22.83/hr) · Amount to change every three years **Fair Labor Standards Act** • How do I deal with the increased salary requirement? Evaluate exempt status · Meet duties test? · How many hours are they actually working? - Raise salary v. convert to hourly

# **Fair Labor Standards Act** · Challenges to DOL's changes to salary threshold - Filed in E.D. Texas on September 20, 2016 - 50 business groups and 21 states - Challenges constitutionality of final DOL rule "Comp. Time" · Only applies to public employees · Offered in lieu of overtime for non-exempt employees • Must be the same rate as overtime - 1.5 hours of comp. time for each hour of overtime • Employees must agree · Capped at 240 hours **Minimum Wage in Colorado** • FLSA minimum wage - \$7.25/hr • Colorado Minimum Wage Order 32 - \$8.31/hr • Proposed Amendment 70 to Colorado Constitution - Raises minimum wage to \$12/hr by 2020 – On the ballot in November

<b>EEOC Strategic Enforcement Priorities</b>	
Addressing Emerging and Developing Issues     EEOC will target emerging issues in equal employment law, including issues associated with significant events, demographic changes, developing theories, new legislation, judicial decisions, and administrative interpretations.	
EEOC's Retaliation Guidance	
Proposed guidance – comment until July 5, 2016	
First guidance since 1998	
Reviews each element of the claim	
Best practices	
Transgender Employees	
<ul> <li>In 2015, the EEOC received 1,412 charges involving allegations relating to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and transgender status</li> <li>34% increase from 2014</li> </ul>	
Title VII – prohibition of sex discrimination	
Stereotyping discrimination     Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins, 490 U.S. 228 (1989)	

### **Sexual Orientation Discrimination** · Circuit Decisions - Rene v. MGM Grand Hotel, Inc., 305 F.3d 1061 (9th Cir. 2002) Sexual orientation discrimination not actionable under Title VII, but physical assault might be because of sex and so violate Title VII. - Prowel v. Wise Business Forms, Inc., 579 F.3d 285 (3d Cir. 2009) Sexual orientation discrimination not actionable under Title VII but name calling might be because of sexual stereotyping. **Sexual Orientation Discrimination** · Circuit Decisions • Smith v. City of Salem, 378 F.3d 566 (6th Cir. 2004). "Sex stereotyping based on a person's gender non-conforming behavior is impermissible discrimination, irrespective of the cause of that behavior; a label, such as 'transsexual,' is not fatal to a sex discrimination claim where the victim has suffered discrimination because of his or her gender non-conformity." **Sexual Orientation Discrimination** • Etsitty v. Utah Transit Auth., 502 F.3d 1215 (10th Cir. 2007). - Requiring employees to use restrooms that matched their biological sex was a legitimate non-discriminatory reason, and Ms. Etsitty failed to show pretext. • Rice v. Deloitte Consulting, 2013 WL 3448198 (D. Colo. 2013). - No sex stereotyping claim based on comments that plaintiff looked unprofessional, had unruly hair, a style of dress that appeared disheveled, and that she had "unique/odd mannerism, style and interactions."

exual Orientation Discrimination
Two new cases:  - EEOC v. Scott Medical Health Center (W.D. Pa.).  - EEOC v. Pallet Companies (D. Md.).
Allegation:  - "[The] aforementioned conduct was motivated by [the aggrieved individual's] sex in that sexual orientation discrimination necessarily entails treating an employee less favorably because of his sex."
Sexual Orientation Discrimination
Future of transgender cases?  – EEOC Interpretation
Constitutional considerations – 42 U.S.C. § 1983
Q&A
Jonathon Watson jwatson@shermanhoward.com
303-299-8286