



Harassment Claims are Alive and Well We're hearing a lot about the "reckoning" happening now in

- We're hearing a tot about the "reckoning" nappening now in workplaces around the country as a result of the highly public harassment accusations that have hit the news in recent months
- There's talk that the "me too movement" (which encourages people who have experienced harassment to share their stories on social media using the hashtag "#metoo") is changing workplace culture
- These types of accusations are nothing new; in municipal government, we've worked diligently for decades to do the right thing when such accusations surface in our workplaces
- But these high profile incidents are reinforcing the importance of workplace culture – which begins at the level of the governing body
- Harassment claims can arise at any level of the organization, from the governing body through to co-workers, but how the governing body sets the tone for the organization is of pivotal importance

Harassment Claims are Alive and Well

- Another reason that you as an elected official must be actively engaged in dealing with harassment issues: the higher in the organization the issue arises, the more difficult it is to deal with, issues arising at your level will be the most challenging:
 - Governing bodies must do most of their work in public, while employee personnel matters are accorded greater confidentiality
 - "Consequences" are relatively straightforward with
 - employees, not so much with elected officials A sense that "This is happening above my pay grade. What can I do?"
 - Most organizations have personnel policies in place to address harassment issues on the administrative side of the organization; relatively few have policies at the level of the governing body
 - Governmental structures may make accountability difficult or impossible

Let's define some terms

variations in yours): haransment based on disability, race, creed, color, sex, sexual orientation, religion, age forty and older, national origin, military status, genetic information, or ancestry, including verbal or physical behavior or conduct:

- that denigrates or shows hostility or aversion toward an individual because of that individual's disability, race, creed, color, sex, sexual orientation, religion, age forty and older, national origin, military status, genetic information, or ancestry; or
- that has the purpose or effect of substantially interfering with an individual's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive work environment.

CIRSA

Let's define some terms

- into two types ("quid module sector marks induced is typically given some additional explanation (this also comes from the Colorado General Assembly's policy):
- Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature constitute sexual harassment when:
- Submission to such conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of an individual's employment.
- Submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment decisions affecting such individual; or
- Such conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment.







What do you think? Does the scenario indicate "harassment"? A "hostile work environment" is starting to fall into common parlance as a synonym for "people are being mean to me." Keep in mind the definition calls for the hostile work environment to be connected to protected classes, such as gender, age, etc. The definition isn't intended to prevent expectations from being established, or to set some kind of "niceness" code. Allowing the term "hostile work environment" to be divorced from its definitional context creates confusion – it might be "mean" but it's not a "hostile work environment" in the legal sense.

What do you think?

- Does the scenario indicate "harassment"? A "hostile work environment"?
- "Hostile work environment" is starting to fall into common parlance as a synonym for "people are being mean to me." Keep in mind the definition calls for the hostile work environment to be connected to protected classes, such as gender, age, etc. The definition isn't intended to prevent expectations from being established, or to set some kind of "niceness" code
- Allowing the term "hostile work environment" to be divorced from its definitional context creates confusion – it might be "mean" but it's not a "hostile work environment" in the legal sense

CIRSA

Scenario "One of our new councilmembers is very blunt. He doesn't hesitate to criticize department heads at our meetings. He talks to us in public like he thinks we're idiots or criminals. Our Clerk recently observed that she feels like he comes down especially hard on her and other women."







<text>







 EEOC's recent teachings on "bystander" empowerment may be helpful here...

CIRSA

CIRSA



Scenario "As Mayor, I had an employee tell me that she feels like she's being harassed about her religion by a councilmember. I've heard some things he's said, and they are pretty inappropriate. But she refuses to put a complaint in writing. In fact, she swore me to secrecy about the fact that she approached me, and asked me to do nothing about it. So I didn't follow up on it. And anyway, he's an elected official. What can we do?"



































